

Period morphisms and syntomic cohomology

Sally Gilles

04/11/2020

Introduction: comparison theorems in p -adic Hodge theory

Let p be a prime number.

K/\mathbb{Q}_p complete extension with perfect residue field k and ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . Let ϖ be a uniformiser in \mathcal{O}_K .

Denote $C := \widehat{\overline{K}}$ and \mathcal{O}_C its valuation ring.

Fontaine's rings

Let $\mathcal{O}_C^b := \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_C/p$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} := W(\mathcal{O}_C^b)$.

Let $[\cdot] : \mathcal{O}_C^b \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}$ be the Teichmüller lift.

For $\varepsilon = (1, \zeta_p, \zeta_{p^2}, \dots) \in \mathcal{O}_C^b$ a system of roots of unity, we consider the elements:

$$\mu = [\varepsilon] - 1, \quad t = \log(1 + \mu) \quad \text{et} \quad \xi = \frac{\mu}{\varphi^{-1}(\mu)}.$$

There is a natural map $\theta : \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ with kernel $\ker(\theta) = (\xi)$.

Fontaine's rings

Define $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ as the completion of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{inf}} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ with respect to the ideal $\ker(\theta)$ and let $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} := \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$.

- $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ is a DVR with residue field C .
- It is endowed with an action of G_K such that $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{G_K} = K$.
- $t \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ is a uniformiser.
- There is a filtration $F^r \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} = t^r \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$.

De Rham comparison theorem

Theorem

Let X be an algebraic variety smooth and proper over K . There is a natural isomorphism:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}} \cong H_{\text{dR}}^i(X) \otimes_K \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$$

compatible with the Galois action and the filtrations.

De Rham comparison theorem

In particular,

$$(H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}})^{G_K} \cong H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_K).$$

But not enough to recover étale cohomology from de Rham cohomology.

Fontaine's rings

Let $\mathcal{O}_F := W(k)$, $F = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

Define

$$\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}} := \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} \left[\frac{\xi^k}{k!}, k \geq 0 \right]^{\wedge_p} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^+ := \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$$

and let $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} := \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^+ \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$.

- \mathbb{B}_{cris} is endowed with an action of G_K such that $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{G_K} = F$.
- There is a σ -linear Frobenius morphism φ .
- There exists an embedding $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \otimes_F K \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$ and we endow $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \otimes_F K$ with the induced filtration.

Crystalline comparison theorem

Assume that X has good reduction i.e. there is an integral model \mathcal{X} smooth and proper over \mathcal{O}_K .

The crystalline cohomology

$$H_{\text{cris}}^i(\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{O}_F)_{\mathbb{Q}} := H_{\text{cris}}^i(\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} F$$

is a finite dimensional F -vector space with a σ -semi-linear Frobenius morphism φ and there is an isomorphism:

$$H_{\text{cris}}^i(\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{O}_F)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_F K \cong H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_K).$$

Crystalline comparison theorem

Theorem

Let X be an algebraic variety smooth and proper over K , with good reduction. There is a natural isomorphism:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i(\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{O}_F)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_F \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$$

compatible with the Galois action, the action of the Frobenius and the filtration after tensoring by \mathbb{B}_{dR} .

Crystalline comparison theorem

In particular,

$$(H_{\acute{e}t}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})^{G_K} \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i(\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{O}_F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong (H_{\text{cris}}^i(\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{O}_F)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_F \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})^{\varphi=1} \cap F^0(H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_K) \otimes_K \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}).$$

Fontaine's rings

Define $\mathbb{B}_{\text{st}} = \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}[u]$.

- \mathbb{B}_{st} is endowed with an action of G_K such that $\mathbb{B}_{\text{st}}^{G_K} = F$.
- We can extend the Frobenius morphism to \mathbb{B}_{st} by setting $\varphi(u) = pu$.
- There is a monodromy operator $N = -\frac{d}{du}$ nilpotent such that $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\text{st}}^{N=0} = \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$.

Semi-stable comparison theorem

Assume X has semi-stable reduction i.e. there exists an integral model \mathcal{X} such that locally \mathcal{X} can be written:

$$\mathrm{Spec}(R) \text{ with } R \text{ étale over } \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_d]/(X_1 \dots X_a - \varpi).$$

Let \mathcal{O}_F^0 the log-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ endowed with the log-structure induced by $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)}, 1 \mapsto 0$.

Semi-stable comparison theorem

The Hyodo-Kato cohomology

$$H_{\text{HK}}^i(X) := H_{\text{cris}}^i(\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{O}_F^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} F$$

is a finite dimensional F -vector space with a σ -semi-linear Frobenius morphism φ and a monodromy operator N nilpotent such that $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ and there is an isomorphism:

$$\iota_{\text{HK}} : H_{\text{HK}}^i(X) \otimes_F K \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^i(X).$$

Semi-stable comparison theorem

Theorem

Let X be an algebraic variety smooth and proper over K , with semi-stable reduction. There exists a natural isomorphism:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{st}} \cong H_{\text{HK}}^i(X) \otimes_F \mathbb{B}_{\text{st}}$$

compatible with the Galois action, the actions of φ and N and the filtration after tensoring by \mathbb{B}_{dR} .

Semi-stable comparison theorem

In particular,

$$(H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{st}})^{G_K} \cong H_{\text{HK}}^i(X)$$

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong (H_{\text{HK}}^i(X) \otimes_F \mathbb{B}_{\text{st}})^{\varphi=1, N=0} \cap F^0(H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_K) \otimes_K \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}).$$

History

- Fontaine-Messing (1987), Kato-Messing (1992), Kato (1994), Tsuji (1999): syntomic cohomology
- Faltings (1989): almost étale extensions
- Nizioł (1998): K -theory
- Beilinson (2013): h -topology
- Colmez-Nizioł (2017): syntomic cohomology
- Bhatt-Morrow-Scholze (2018), Česnavičius-Koshikawa (2019) : \mathbb{A}_{inf} -cohomology

Proof via syntomic cohomology

In the proofs using syntomic cohomology, the period isomorphism is induced by the maps:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r)) \xleftarrow{\alpha_{i,r}} H_{\text{syn}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(r)) \rightarrow H_{\text{HK}}^i(X) \otimes_F \mathbb{B}_{\text{st}}.$$

where $\alpha_{i,r}$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq r$.

Syntomic cohomology and p -adic nearby cycles

Assume that locally \mathfrak{X} can be written:

$$\mathrm{Spf}(R) \text{ with } R \text{ étale over } R_{\square} := \mathcal{O}_K\{X_1, \dots, X_d, \frac{1}{X_1 \dots X_a}, \frac{\varpi}{X_{a+1} \dots X_{a+b}}\}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} & \rightsquigarrow & \text{log-structure induced by } \mathfrak{X}_k \text{ and } D := \{X_{a+b+1} \dots X_d = 0\} \\ \downarrow \text{log-smooth} & & \\ \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} & \rightsquigarrow & \text{log-structure induced by the closed point} \end{array}$$

Denote $\bar{\mathfrak{X}} := \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{C, \mathrm{tr}}$ the trivial locus of \mathfrak{X}_C .

p -adic nearby cycles

Consider the morphisms:

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{k},\acute{e}t} \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C,\acute{e}t} \xleftarrow{\bar{j}} \mathfrak{X}_{C,\text{tr},\acute{e}t}$$

For r and n integers, the sheaf of p -adic nearby cycles is given by:

$$\bar{i}^* R\bar{j}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)'_{\mathfrak{X}_{C,\text{tr}}} \in D(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{k},\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)' = \frac{1}{a(r)!p^{a(r)}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)$ for $r = a(r)(p-1) + b(r)$ with $0 \leq b(r) < (p-1)$.

Syntomic sheaves

For n and r integers, consider:

- $R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_n) = R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_n/W_n(\bar{k}), \mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_n/W_n(\bar{k})})$, the absolute crystalline cohomology of $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_n$,
- $J_n^{[r]}$ the r -th divided power of the sheaf of ideals $J_n = \ker(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_n/W_n(\bar{k})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_n})$.

Syntomic sheaves

The (geometric) syntomic complexes of \mathfrak{X} are given by:

$$R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, r)_n := [R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_n, J_n^{[r]}) \xrightarrow{p^r - \varphi} R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_n)]$$

$$R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, r) := \text{holim}_n R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, r)_n.$$

Definition

The syntomic sheaf $\mathcal{S}_n(r)_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}}$ is defined as the sheaf in $D(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{k}, \text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ associated to the presheaf $\mathfrak{U} \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\mathfrak{U}, r)_n$.

Main theorem

Theorem 1 (G., 2020)

For all $0 \leq k \leq r$, there exists a p^N -isomorphism

$$\alpha_{r,n}^0 : \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{S}_n(r)_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}}) \rightarrow \bar{i}^* R^k \bar{j}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)'_{\mathfrak{X}_{C,\text{tr}}}$$

where N is an integer which depends only on r (not on \mathfrak{X} nor n).

Remarks

- Tsuji's proof uses the map originally defined by Fontaine-Messing $\alpha_{r,n}^{\text{FM}}$.
- Colmez and Nizioł showed that the arithmetic Fontaine-Messing map:

$$\alpha_{r,n}^{\text{FM}} : \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{S}_n(r)_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_K}}) \rightarrow i^* R^k j_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)'_{\mathfrak{X}_{K,\text{tr}}}$$

is a p^N -isomorphism. To do that, they constructed a local model of $\alpha_{r,n}^{\text{FM}}$.

- The local construction of $\alpha_{r,n}^0$ is the geometric version of the local morphism of Colmez and Nizioł, but here, I globalize it directly.

Semi-stable conjecture

Assume now that $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is proper.

Using

$$R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}, r)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} [[R\Gamma_{\text{HK}}(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_F \mathbb{B}_{\text{st}}^+]^{\varphi=p^r, N=0} \xrightarrow{t_{\text{HK}}} (R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(\mathfrak{X}_K) \otimes_K \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)/F^r]$$

and the theory of Banach-Colmez spaces, we can prove that α_r^0 induces:

$$\tilde{\alpha}^0 : H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathfrak{X}_C, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{st}} \cong H_{\text{HK}}^i(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{B}_{\text{st}}$$

Uniqueness of period morphisms

Let $\tilde{\alpha}^{\text{FM}}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}^{\text{CK}}$ denote the period morphisms obtained by Tsuji and Česnavičius-Koshikawa respectively, then:

Theorem 2 (G., 2020)

The morphisms $\tilde{\alpha}^{\text{FM}}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}^0$ on one hand and $\tilde{\alpha}^{\text{CK}}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}^0$ on the other hand, are equal. In particular, $\tilde{\alpha}^{\text{FM}} = \tilde{\alpha}^{\text{CK}}$.

Corollary

The period morphisms of Faltings, Nizioł, Beilinson and Bhatt-Morrow-Scholze (and Česnavičius-Koshikawa) are equal.

Local result

Local models

Assume $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$.

We want to use a simple complex representing $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}, r)$.

We use:

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}) \cong R\Gamma_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}/\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}})$$

(by the universal property of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}$).

\rightsquigarrow It suffices to work with a lifting R_{cris}^+ of R over

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}\left\{X_1, \dots, X_d, \frac{1}{X_1 \dots X_a}, \frac{\varpi}{X_{a+1} \dots X_{a+b}}\right\}.$$

Local syntomic complex

Endow R_{cris}^+ with the filtration coming from \mathbb{A}_{cris} and with the Frobenius morphism given by $\varphi(X_i) = X_i^p$.

Then,

$$R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}/\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}) \cong \Omega_{R_{\text{cris}}^+}^i \quad \text{and} \quad R\Gamma_{\text{cris}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_C}/\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}, J^{[r]}) \cong F^r \Omega_{R_{\text{cris}}^+}^i$$

so the local syntomic complex is

$$\text{Syn}(R_{\text{cris}}^+, r) := [F^r \Omega_{R_{\text{cris}}^+}^\bullet \xrightarrow{p^r - \varphi} \Omega_{R_{\text{cris}}^+}^\bullet].$$

Local étale complex

Let \overline{R} be the maximal extension of R unramified outside D in characteristic 0 and $G_R := \text{Gal}(\overline{R}[\frac{1}{p}]/R[\frac{1}{p}])$. Then (by a $K(\pi, 1)$ lemma):

$$R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\text{Sp}(R \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ - \\ p \end{bmatrix}) \setminus D_K, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}(r)).$$

Local theorem

Theorem

There exist p^N -quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\alpha_r^0 : \tau_{\leq r} \mathrm{Syn}(R_{\mathrm{cris}}^+, r) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{Z}_p(r))$$

$$\alpha_{r,n}^0 : \tau_{\leq r} \mathrm{Syn}(R_{\mathrm{cris}}^+, r)_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r))$$

where N is a constant which depends only on r .

Construction of α_0^r

Step 1: change of convergence

For $0 < u < v$, define

$$\mathbb{A}^{[u,v]} := \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} \left[\frac{p}{[\alpha]}, \frac{[\beta]}{p} \right]^{\wedge_p} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_C^b \text{ with } v(\alpha) = \frac{1}{v} \text{ and } v(\beta) = \frac{1}{u}$$

and let $R^{[u,v]} := R_{\text{cris}}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}} \mathbb{A}^{[u,v]}$.

We have a $p^{N_1 r}$ -quasi-isomorphism:

$$\text{Syn}(R_{\text{cris}}^+, r) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(R^{[u,v]}, r) := [F^r \Omega_{R^{[u,v]}}^\bullet \xrightarrow{p^r - \varphi} \Omega_{R^{[u,v]}}^\bullet].$$

Step 2: Galois action

Write $\mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}$ the image of the embedding $R^{[u,v]} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}}^{[u,v]}$.

Let $\Gamma_R = \text{Gal}(R_\infty/R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ where R_∞ is the limit of the R_m obtained from R by taking the p^m -th roots of the coordinates.

The group G_R acts on $\mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}$ through Γ_R .

$\text{Lie } \Gamma_R$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank d generated by $\nabla_i = t\partial_i$.

Step 2: Galois action

Write $\text{Kos}(\varphi, \partial, F^r \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}) := [\text{Kos}(\partial, F^r \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}) \xrightarrow{p^r - \varphi} \text{Kos}(\partial, \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]})]$.

We have $p^{N_{2r}}$ -quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_{\leq r} C(R^{[u,v]}, r) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \text{Kos}(\varphi, \partial, F^r \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}) && \text{choice of coordinates} \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \text{Kos}(\varphi, \text{Lie } \Gamma_R, \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}(r)) && \text{mult. by } t^{r-\bullet} \\
 &\xleftarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \text{Kos}(\varphi, \Gamma_R, \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}(r))
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: change of ring in the Galois cohomology

Let $R_{\text{inf}} := R_{\text{inf}}^+ \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ and let \mathbb{A}_R be its image in $\mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}} := W \left(\overline{R} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]^b \right)$.

There are quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Kos}(\varphi, \Gamma_R, \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Kos}(\varphi, \Gamma_R, \mathbb{A}_R) && \text{change of convergence} \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Kos}(\varphi, \Gamma_R, \mathbb{A}_{R_\infty}) && \text{decompletion} \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} [R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}}) \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}})] && \text{étale descent.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Artin-Schreier theory

Finally, the Artin-Schreier exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}}(r) \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} \mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}}(r) \rightarrow 0$$

gives a quasi-isomorphism

$$R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \cong [R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}}(r)) \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}}(r))].$$

Morphism α_0^r

To summarise, α_0^r is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_{\leq r} R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(R, r) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \text{Syn}(R_{\text{cris}}^+, r) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} C(R^{[u,v]}, r) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \text{Kos}(\varphi, \partial, F^r \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \text{Kos}(\varphi, \Gamma_R, \mathbb{A}_R^{[u,v]}(r)) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} \text{Kos}(\varphi, \Gamma_R, \mathbb{A}_R(r)) \\
 &\xleftarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq r} R\Gamma(G_R, \mathbb{Z}_p(r)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark: arithmetic case

In the arithmetic case :

- Work with a lifting R_{ϖ}^+ log-smooth over

$$\mathcal{O}_F\left\{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_d, \frac{1}{X_1 \dots X_a}, \frac{X_0}{X_{a+1} \dots X_{a+b}}\right\}$$

with X_0 "arithmetic variable" ($X_0 \mapsto \varpi$).

- Convergence rings:
 - $r_{\varpi}^+ := \mathcal{O}_F[[X_0]]$,
 - $r_{\varpi}^{[u,v]}$ analytic functions over F convergent on the annulus $\frac{v}{e} \geq v_p(X_0) \geq \frac{u}{e}$.

Remark: arithmetic case

- The construction of the morphism is more complicated than in the geometric case:
 - Change of Frobenius (two different embeddings in the period ring $\mathbb{A}_{\overline{R}}^{[u,v]}$).
 - $\mathrm{Lie} \Gamma_R$ is not commutative.